

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 065 913

EA 004 480


AUTHOR Rosenman, Marvin Eli; And Others
TITLE Let's Have Inside-Out Schools! Design Feasibility Study for the Renovation and Addition to the Burris Laboratory School, Muncie, Indiana.
INSTITUTION Ball State Univ., Muncie, Ind.; Educational Facilities Labs., Inc., Chicago, Ill.
PUB DATE 72
NOTE 58p.
AVAILABLE FROM College of Architecture and Planning, Ball State University, Muncie, Indiana 47306 (\$2.50)
EDRS PRICE MF-\$0.65 HC-\$3.29
DESCRIPTORS Community Involvement; Design Needs; Estimated Costs; *Facility Requirements; Feasibility Studies; Laboratory Schools; *Planning (Facilities); School Community Relationship; *School Design; School Environment; *School Expansion; *School Improvement; Site Development

ABSTRACT

The Burris School facility has been physically investigated in terms of existing and future needs. A priority-based sequence of remodeling and additions is suggested to meet these demands. The sequence consists of 31 distinct operations that can be grouped into eight major phases for purposes of financing and construction. The design proposals, by using buildings inside and outside, emphasize making learning resources inviting and available to the entire community and encourage the development of a symbiotic relationship among Burris, the University, and the community. Through the proposed use of building form, land development; appropriate scale, materials, graphics, and circulation, the investigators feel that the school can serve more diverse community interests. (Photographs may reproduce poorly.) (Author)

Plato "Let education be a sort of amusement; you will then be better able to find out the natural bent."





2

3

ED 065913

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,
EDUCATION & WELFARE
OFFICE OF EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

a study made possible through the combined efforts of the Teachers College and the Ball State University, Muncie, and the New Life for Old Schools Project of Education

Let's Have Inside-Out Schools

Design Feasibility Study for the Renovation and Addition of
the Burris Laboratory School, Muncie, Indiana

Marvin Eli Rosenman AIA, Project Director
Anthony J. Costello AIA
Craig W. Mullins


EA C04 480

a study made possible through the combined efforts of the Teachers College and the College of Architecture and Planning
at Ball State University, Muncie, and the New Life for Old Schools Project of Educational Facilities Laboratories, Inc., Chicago

Let's Have Inside-Out Schools!

Design Feasibility Study for the Renovation and Addition to
the Burris Laboratory School, Muncie, Indiana

Marvin Eli Rosenman AIA, Project Director
Anthony J. Costello AIA
Craig W. Mullins



Credits and Acknowledgements



Design Team

Marvin E. Rosenman AIA—Associate Professor of Architecture and Project Director.
Anthony J. Costello AIA—Associate Professor of Architecture.
Craig W. Mullins—instructor in Architecture

and the following students from the College of Architecture and Planning:

Conrade Hinds—First Year (Burris graduate)
Cleon Stutler—Third Year
Kenyon Tilbury—Fifth Year

Consultants

Engineering—Robert Nowlin, PE, K/M Associates, Elkhart, Indiana
Landscape—M. Paul Friedberg, ASLA, M. Paul Friedberg and Associates, New York City
Interiors—Brock Arms, Architect and Interior Designer, Chicago
Media—Dr. Philip Lewis, President, Instructional Dynamics, Inc., Chicago
Theater—Frank Carioti, Producer/Director of Old Town Players, Chicago
Construction Economics—Michael R. Morris, ARICS AIQS, Hanscomb Roy Associates, Chicago


Dr. John J. Pruis—President of Ball State University
Dr. Richard W. Burkhardt, Vice President for Instructional Affairs, Dean of Faculties, Ball State University
Ben E. Graves—Director, New Life for Old Schools Project, Educational Facilities Laboratories, Inc., Chicago
Dr. Oliver C. Bumb—Vice President for Public Affairs, Ball State University
Dr. John M. Dunworth, Dean of the Teachers College,
Professor of Educational Administration and Supervision, Ball State University
Charles M. Sappenfield AIA—Dean of the College of Architecture and Planning, Professor of Architecture,
Ball State University
Dr. M. Curtis Howd, Professor of Educational Administration and Director of
Burris Laboratory School
Dr. Kenneth L. Foster—Associate Professor of Educational Administration and Acting Principal of
Burris Laboratory School
Dr. Charles D. Shipman—Assistant Dean of the Teachers College, Professor of
Educational Administration and Supervision
Dr. Don Jones, Chairman, Department of Secondary, Adult and Higher Education;
Professor of Secondary Education
Alice Groshong—Production Assistant
David C. Bartle Jr.—CAP Technical Staff
Wilbur A. Montgomery—CAP Technical Staff



Site Plan


1	Inspiration
5	Credits and Acknowledgements
6	Site Plan
8	What is the Best School?
8	Let's Have "Inside-Out" Schools!
10	Plans of Existing Facility
10	Introduction and Philosophy for Burris
12	Educational Program for Burris
13	Intent of Design Feasibility Study
14	Design Introduction
20	Structure/Mechanical
20	Nursery and Kindercourt
20	Interior Circulation Courts and Exterior Pods
21	Resource Center
22	Theater Facilities
22	Second Level Terrace
23	Play-bowl
23	North-South Arcade
23	North Garden/Play-court
24	Administration/Store-Front Wing
24	South Garden/Play-court
24	Physical Education/Music Wing
25	Cafeteria and Community Room
25	Service and Vehicular Access
26	Site Development
28	Preliminary Phasing and Cost Estimates
35	Prospect

7



What is the Best School?

Let's Have "Inside-Out



There are omnipresent dangers in oversimplification. There is richness and **continuity in diversity**; the school child at the turn of the century probably learned more on the way, than in school itself—trips through the market, past the fire station, across the park, were then commonplace. Children today are carefully driven to windowless school rooms in the very vehicles that have made walking to school a hazard. Much is lost for these youngsters who thrive on **environmental stimuli**. "Open plan" facilities—often "empty box" facilities—do little to inspire total environmental awareness in their inhabitants, especially outside the confines of the building. The best school could teach us how to live with ourselves and with others, and how to live with our environment, both natural and man-made. Why confine it to a box?


The best school would then seek ways of enriching its **cultural/human** input with interacting **natural** places and **man-made** forms and objects for an **inclusive** rather than an exclusive environment. Why should the school duplicate the real world when the school could become the real world? **Duality** rather than duplicity should be the goal. Why not bring the classroom to the grocery or the grocery to the classroom. **The best school becomes the city, rich and exciting, just as the city itself becomes the school.**

Much of what is happening in the city is literally hidden from all outside resources and hours of planning and preparation are often spent on relatively few. A recent article in a campus magazine of a large midwestern university


"The Music Department library has 2000 records and 500 tapes. It has with nine study booths with stereo headphones, soundproof rooms for listening . . . etc. The library, a long hall in the basement of the Music Building, is open to all students and they know it exists."

In ghetto areas of our large cities, proprietors frequently install outdoor speakers, hung over their entrances at the sidewalk, beckoning prospective buyers or listeners. **Where are our schools and universities?** Why are they not prospective learners with sounds everywhere—more outdoor or visible experiments, for a truly transformed environment, like a circus?

Let's Have "Inside-Out" Schools!



"INCLUSIVE"
VARIETY




CULTURAL ↔ MAN-MADE

The best school would then seek ways of enriching its **cultural/human** input with interacting **natural** places and **man-made** forms and objects for an **inclusive** rather than an exclusive environment. Why should the school duplicate the real world when the school could become the real world? **Duality** rather than duplicity should be the goal. Why not bring the classroom to the grocery or the grocery to the classroom. **The best school becomes the city, rich and exciting, just as the city itself becomes the school.**


Much of what is happening in today's schools is literally hidden from all outsiders. Valuable resources and hours of planning and preparation are often spent on relatively few. A recent article in a campus newspaper of a large midwestern university read like this:

"The Music Department library contains 2000 records and 500 tapes. It is equipped with nine study booths with stereo headphones, soundproof rooms for group listening . . . etc. The library, at the end of a long hall in the basement of the Music Building, is open to all students, **but few know it exists.**"

In ghetto areas of our large cities, record shop proprietors frequently install outdoor speakers, hung over their entranceways, aimed at the sidewalk, beckoning prospective buyers or listeners. **Where are our schools and universities?** Why are they not beckoning prospective learners with sounds and images everywhere—more outdoor or visible experiments, for a truly transformable environment, like a circus?



STAIR OR FORUM



CLASSROOM OR GROCERY?


An accomplished educational administrator, when asked to describe his favorite school facility, told of a school in Texas "that was once a factory building whose three floors were opened and connected with a variety of activities, all of which could be changed if desired!" When asked how someone a block away would know about it, he replied "I guess they really wouldn't." **The best "open plan" should in some way open into the "real world."** This can be achieved in many ways in new schools and in remodeled old ones.

Recent attention has been given to approaches that open city resources to the learner; but what about the thousands of existing school facilities, bastions of learning, whose physical plants, although structurally sound, are imposing, rigid and foreboding to their inhabitants? What about new facilities, for that matter, whose internal activities are artificially separated from the "real world" by boring precast concrete slabs? It is not

uncommon to visit new high schools where the biology greenhouse is the only thing to poke its way through the educational facility **wrappings**. Wrappings are fine for gifts but learning is a right not a commodity.

Inundated by "systems" and bound by budgets, architects frequently think that the best school can be built in the least time for the least money; with few exceptions, are these really schools? Or are they boxes? Do we look at cities in these terms **only**?

The ideal environment for learning should be strongly interactive, **inside and outside**. It should be a place that has the unique ability to serve the talents of the individual, who may in turn interpret it in different ways. A school, like the city, should plan for **happening** rather than performance—learning by accident as well as by schedule—by removing from the student the fear of judgment. The student should experiment as well as **in** the school, using it as a tool to explore structure, nature and other people's ideas. There is wisdom in Winston Churchill's statement: "We shape the tools and thereafter they shape us." We might say: **We shape our schools and thereafter they shape us in our attitudes towards ourselves, towards others, and towards our environment.** We must never overlook the critical nature and the valid interaction of human/cultural (2) natural and (3) man-made elements in our environment. For these three factors determine our success in life itself should **all** be a part of the "inside-out" school or university, whose outer surface would indeed be available to everyone.



STAIR OR FORUM?



ROOM OR GROCERY?

9

nal administrator,
favorite school
exas "that was
se three floors
ed with a variety of
u be changed if
someone a block
ne replied "I guess
rest "open
open into the "real
id in many ways
geled old ones.

uncommon to visit new high schools where the
biology greenhouse is the only thing to poke
its way through the educational facility
wrappings. Wrappings are fine for gifts but
learning is a right not a commodity.




Inundated by "systems" and bound by
budgets, architects frequently think that the
best school can be built in the least time
for the least money; with few exceptions, are
these really schools? Or are they boxes? Do
we look at cities in these terms **only**?

iven to approaches
ne learner; but
of existing school
g, whose
structurally sound,
boding to their
w facilities, for that
ies are
ne "real world" by
ps? It is not


The ideal environment for learning should be
strongly interactive, **inside and outside**.

It should be a place that has the unique ability
to serve the talents of the individual, who
may in turn interpret it in different ways. The
school, like the city, should plan for
happening rather than performance—learning
by accident as well as by schedule—by
removing from the student the fear of
judgment. The student should experiment **on**
as well as **in** the school, using it as a tool to
explore structure, nature and other people's
ideas. There is wisdom in Winston Churchill's
statement: "We shape the tools and thereafter
they shape us." We might say: **We shape
our schools and thereafter they shape us,
in our attitudes towards ourselves,
towards others, and towards our
environment.** We must never overlook the
critical nature and the valid interaction of (1)
human/cultural (2) natural and (3) man-made
elements in our environment. For these three
factors determine our success in life itself, and
should **all** be a part of the "inside-out"
school or university, whose outer surface
would indeed be available to everyone.




13




sequence through preliminary study model from roof to basement showing existing mechanical chase spaces and structure—all non-bearing partitions have been removed for clarity



Plans of Existing Facility






Introduction and Philosophy for Burris




Ball State University's Burris Laboratory School was designed, for the most part, in 1928. Although its educational philosophy was highly innovative, its physical facilities are cramped and antiquated. Its structure is sound, yet it imposes inordinate constraints on possible future educational programs. The following two paragraphs describe, in greater detail, its objectives and philosophy.

The Laboratory School, a Department of Teachers College, serves as a laboratory for testing teaching methodology, for developing learning environments, for developing instructional materials, and for implementing university students in teacher education programs with children. The Laboratory School serves children living in a designated attendance area of the Muncie Community Schools; thus it is a unit of the Muncie Community Schools system. The Laboratory School is a K-12 facility with an enrollment of approximately 900 students and has been in operation since September, 1950.

Plans of Existing Facility




Introduction and Philosophy for Burris



10

Ball State University's Burris Laboratory School was designed, for the most part, in 1928. Although its educational programs are highly innovative, its physical facilities are cramped and antiquated. Its structure is sound, yet it imposes inordinate rigidity on possible future educational programming. The following two paragraphs describe, in greater detail, its objectives and history:

The Laboratory School, a Department of the Teachers College, serves as a laboratory for testing teaching methodology and learning environments, for developing instructional materials, and for involving university students in teacher education programs with children. The Laboratory School serves children living in an attendance area of the Muncie Community Schools; thus it is a unit of the Muncie Community Schools system. The school is a K-12 facility with an enrollment of approximately 900 students and has been in operation since September, 1929.




Programs developed through the years in the Laboratory school which have served as patterns for other schools include unified arts, core curriculum, multi-age grouping, and individualized instruction. One of the more popular modern mathematics programs had its inception in the Laboratory School. It was developed by Robert Eicholz, Phares O'Daffer, and Charles Fleenor and is now published and distributed by Addison Wesley Company. Another recent program of national prominence, The Oral-Aural-Visual Stimuli Approach to Teaching of Written Composition, was developed in the Laboratory School under the direction of Dr. Anthony L. Tovatt.

In essence, the Burriss Laboratory School sits as an island, physically isolated from its university and community neighbors; learning operations are in many ways confined to the artificial anonymity of its four monotonous brick walls. The "subject matter" of its classrooms has become so intertwined with "real life" that the two are becoming one; **presently there is no physical indication of this at Burriss.**

Learning can be everywhere: we should use buildings for their exterior as well as interior forms and surfaces, to involve the total community. Learning has become a continuing process of communicating systems, with a setting rapidly extending beyond the limits associated with conventional "school buildings." Differentiation is gradually diminishing between libraries, art museums, shops and parks, while greater stress is placed on the creation of a maximum number of **options for the individual and the group**; with adaptability for growth and change through **direct means** (books, computers, teachers, classes) and through **indirect means** (varieties of stimuli en route to other places).

The limits of educational systems and facilities have transcended the mythical boundaries of the classroom in thought as well as action; and flexibility in space and time, once thought the panacea for every educational facility ill, has taken second place to **accessibility**. Concern for the design inside the classroom is rapidly giving way to design interest of a more critical nature—that of the **total community**. The Burriss School

can easily become a more intense center of community activity; its program better serve the individual and the group; its presence can be more widely felt; its educational potential can be extended through the creation and addition of exciting relationships, spaces, and experiences everyone experiencing its environment.



11

years in
erved
unified
aping,
of the

by
rt
hed and
pany.

Stimuli

ion of

chool sits
is
learning
to the
ous
s
n with
one;
ion of this

Learning can be everywhere; we should use buildings for their exterior as well as interior forms and surfaces, to involve the total community. Learning has become a continuing process of communicating systems, with a setting rapidly extending beyond the limits associated with conventional "school buildings." Differentiation is gradually diminishing between libraries, art museums, shops and parks, while greater stress is placed on the creation of a maximum number of **options for the individual and the group**; with adaptability for growth and change through **direct means** (books, computers, teachers, classes) and through **indirect means** (varieties of stimuli en route to other places).

The limits of educational systems and facilities have transcended the mythical boundaries of the classroom in thought as well as action; and flexibility in space and time, once thought the panacea for every educational facility ill, has taken second place to **accessibility**. Concern for the design inside the classroom is rapidly giving way to design interest of a more critical nature—that of the **total community**. The Burriss School

can easily become a more intense generator of community activity; its programs can better serve the individual and the group; its presence can be more widely felt; its educational potential can be enhanced through the creation and addition of new, exciting relationships, spaces and uses for everyone experiencing its environs.

The goals formulated by the Burris faculty are clear. Their primary objective is **to allow a student to learn about himself, through activities stressing perception, self expression and self expansion.** These activities may take the non-verbal forms of music, art, or physical development. Another objective is **to expand into the community through exposure, inviting and encouraging participation from other individuals and institutions.**

Plans were based around the following enrollments: Pre-kindergarten, 50; kindergarten, 50; grades 1-6, 300; grades 7-12, 540. The proposed design would be essentially unaffected by a gradeless situation.

The information below was furnished by Burris School as a guide in beginning the study:

The educational program will be designed to allow for the development of **continuous learning programs**; that is, the educational program will be designed to meet the needs of the **individual learner.** Continuous learning patterns will be in effect from pre-kindergarten through grade 12. Continuous learning programs are characterized by being **flexible.** The learning spaces must be designed to accommodate large groups in an area from which small groups and individuals can work in special activities. Since students will operate out of large areas, it will be necessary to have the responsible faculty centered within these areas.

As we visualize the Burris program during the next twenty-five years, the 300 children in the **elementary area** would be assigned to **two groups of 150 each.** There would be a minimum of five full-time faculty who would direct the learning programs for children at this age level. These five would be assisted by a psychometrist, a specialist in developmental or corrective learning programs, a specialist in physical education, another in music, another in art, another in

industrial and technological programs. The specialist would work with each of two centers and the five teachers in each center. In this program each of these specialists would need to have an area for directing his specialty; that is, a gymnasium for physical education; a room for music, art, and industrial and technological centers. The specialists in developmental and corrective programs would need an isolated room for working with children who have special learning problems. There would need to be a room for the psychometrist.

The program for the **seventh and eighth grades** would provide for a **planned transition** through a learning program designed to meet the needs of the early adolescent. The students would spend a major portion of their day, i.e., approximately one-half, working in a **core** of subjects that would represent their common learning or general education experiences. The other one-half would be spent in specialized activities such as art, physical education, music, and possibly in some subjects such as mathematics, typewriting, foreign languages. The core program would need a large open area for the **large group of 90 students** with many facilities for **small group and individualized instruction** supported by computer assisted learning devices including information retrieval centers. Three or four teachers who would be responsible for directing the core programs would need to be housed in the learning area. The special learning programs could be housed in areas designed for instruction in the specialty, i.e., art center, music center, typing center.

The program in **grades 9-12** would place emphasis upon developing **continuous learning programs**, which means individualization of instruction. Hence the program would need to be centered in areas that would have the tools and instructional aids conducive to directing learning in the major areas for which the secondary school will be

responsible. Specifically, the **center** for the development of a center for identifying **social problems** that man must resolve with his fellowman and to resolve production, consumption, and goods and services; a center for proficiency in **languages** other than his own; a center for identifying problems in controlling the environment using the **natural resources** to the benefit of all mankind; a center for systems of **logic** and expression of **quantitative relationships**; a center for identifying issues and problems providing for **family living** in food, sanitation; a center for expressing himself through **aesthetics** such as dance, music, drama, jewelry; a **technology** center for design and fabricate materials for the needs of man; a center for **social activities**; a center for **manipulative skills** such as bookkeeping, records, secretarial act

As you see, the school of the future will not be organized in terms of disciplines but rather in terms of social issues confronting man. The areas described above are in need of being grouped around a central point. In each of the areas there should be computer assisted instruction and visual aids such as TV, video, and a projection booth.

Teachers who direct programs should have their offices in that **instructional area.** That building ought to be designed for communication among teachers. If we see it, there would be no need for a private office. However, in each area there ought to be places in which small groups could assemble for the total group. Also, in each area there should be some place in which **private**

the Burris faculty are
ive is to allow a
self, through
otion, self expression
activities may take
music, art, or physical
ective is to expand
exposure,
participation from
utions.

the following
ten, 50;
6, 300; grades
sign would be
gradeless situation.

s furnished by
beginning the

will be designed to
of **continuous**
the educational
to meet the needs
continuous learning
om pre-kindergarten
us learning
ed by being **flexible**.
be designed to
in an area from
individuals can work
students will operate
necessary to
y centered within

program during the
300 children in
be assigned to **two**
would be a
faculty who would
ms for children at
ould be assisted
alist in
e learning
physical education,
in art, another in

industrial and technological programs. The
specialist would work with each of two centers
and the five teachers in each center. In this
program each of these specialists would need
to have an area for directing his specialty; that
is, a gymnasium for physical education; a
room for music, art, and industrial and
technological centers. The specialists in
developmental and corrective programs would
need an isolated room for working with
children who have special learning problems.
There would need to be a room for the
psychometrist.

The program for the **seventh and eighth grades**
would provide for a **planned transition**
through a learning program designed to meet
the needs of the early adolescent. The
students would spend a major portion of their
day, i.e., approximately one-half, working
in a **core** of subjects that would represent their
common learning or general education
experiences. The other one-half would be
spent in specialized activities such as art,
physical education, music, and possibly in
some subjects such as mathematics,
typewriting, foreign languages. The core
program would need a large open area for the
large group of 90 students with many facilities
for **small group and individualized**
instruction supported by computer assisted
learning devices including information
retrieval centers. Three or four teachers who
would be responsible for directing the core
programs would need to be housed in the
learning area. The special learning programs
could be housed in areas designed for
instruction in the specialty, i.e., art center,
music center, typing center.

The program in **grades 9-12** would place
emphasis upon developing **continuous** learning
programs, which means individualization
of instruction. Hence the program would
need to be centered in areas that would have
the tools and instructional aids conducive to
directing learning in the major areas for
which the secondary school will be

responsible. Specifically, there should be a
center for the development of **communications**;
a center for identifying **social issues and**
problems that man must resolve to live with
his fellowman and to resolve the problems of
production, consumption, and distribution of
goods and services; a center for developing
proficiency in **languages** other than the native
tongue; a center for identifying issues and
problems in controlling the **environment** and
using the **natural resources** therein for the
benefit of all mankind; a center for developing
systems of **logic** and expressing **spatial and**
quantitative relationships; a center for
identifying issues and problems man faces in
providing for **family living** including housing,
food, sanitation; a center for learning to
express himself through **aesthetic activities**
such as dance, music, drama, art, sculpture,
jewelry; a **technology** center for learning to
design and fabricate material things to serve
the needs of man; a center for **recreation** and
social activities; a center for developing
manipulative skills such as learning to type,
keep records, secretarial activities, etc.

As you see, the school of the immediate future
will not be organized in terms of subject
disciplines but rather in terms of the large
social issues confronting man. Many of the
areas described above are interrelated and
ought to be grouped around common centers.
In each of the areas there should be access
to computer assisted instruction and to audio
and visual aids such as TV, video tape, and
a projection booth.

Teachers who direct programs in the large
areas ought to have their **headquarters within**
that **instructional area**. That is, the
building ought to be designed to facilitate
communication among teachers. As we would
see it, there would be no need for the so-called
private office. However, in every area there
ought to be places in which individuals or
small groups could assemble in isolation from
the total group. Also, in each area should be
some place in which **private conferences**

could be held. Serving the total program will be some centers such as the **gymnasium, recreation center, auditorium, library, teaching materials center.** The number of children in the school would warrant having separate elementary and secondary libraries.

There should be **administrative offices** for secondary and elementary schools and an administrative office for the director of the school program. In the elementary and secondary administrative offices provision should be made for guidance counselors. In the director's office there should be a school nurse service, provision for a social psychologist, a psychometrist, and a speech and hearing therapist.

Since the **laboratory school will be serving undergraduate and graduate professional education inservice programs**, there should be an area large enough to accommodate the maximum number of university students that would be assigned to the laboratory school for professional experiences at any one time. A rough approximation would be to serve a group of 100 university students. Associated with this room ought to be offices for the director of these professional experiences and for the university faculty who will be working with him in the program. Since the university elementary and secondary programs are in separate departments, we would assume there ought to be provision and offices for a director of elementary and a director of secondary professional laboratory experiences. However, the large classroom-learning center could serve university students in both the elementary and secondary programs.

Since one role of a laboratory school is to provide opportunity for various individuals to observe the teacher-learner environment, the **learning areas should be open-to-viewing. The interior of enclosed areas should be visible from within and without, i.e., no one-way glass except in rooms in which the**

learning activity would be modified negatively if the observer is visible, such as in testing rooms used by psychometrist and in therapy rooms used by speech-hearing therapist.

Associated with the laboratory school director's office should be a conference room that would accommodate fifty to sixty people. This room would be used as a center for the inservice programs, including faculty meetings. This room would be equipped with **closed circuit television, a computer head, and an information retrieval system.** In the immediate future the public school teachers working with the university as critic teachers for student teachers will be expected to spend a portion of their time working in the laboratory center to keep abreast of the teacher education program. This room would serve as their headquarters.

The Burris School facility has been physically investigated in terms of existing and future needs. A **priority based sequence** of remodeling and additions is suggested to meet these demands. It consists of 31 distinct operations that can be grouped into **8 major phases** for purposes of financing and construction. The phases, in turn, can be combined to form larger development packages if desirable. It is the intent of the study that these changes can be made without disrupting the normal operations of the existing facility.

The design proposals for the existing Burris School facility encourage the development


of a symbiotic relationship between the University, and the community. The "connective tissues" of the community to be a primary source of information dissemination. Through the building form, land development, scale, materials, graphics and it is felt that Burris can serve community interests.

It is felt that the existing site is appropriate for the future of Burris Laboratory School for the following factors:

- 1) It is a prime location within the University, especially the central campus.
- 2) It is located in an area where the University, there will be a high concentration of students.
- 3) The existing structure is well maintained.
- 4) Funds for a new Burris School are not as readily available, renovating the existing building is more feasible.
- 5) The existing building can be modified to meet the educational needs of the Burris faculty.

It is hoped that the design feasibility study are successful in showing how a facility which is a "laboratory" in teaching and learning can be a suitable "laboratory" for research environments. It is intended that the remodeled facility can set a standard for schools under similar physical conditions.

Finally, it is hoped that the facility become an inspiration and a challenge to teachers, students, and parents as well as other community as well as other countries, as a "real" place for learning.



ing the total program will
ch as the **gymnasium**,
auditorium, library, teaching
the number of children in
arrant having separate
ondary libraries.

Administrative offices for
entary schools and an
e for the director of the
ne elementary and
ative offices provision
guidance counselors. In
there should be a school
ision for a social
nometrist, and a speech
at.

school will be serving
graduate professional
programs, there should be
h to accommodate the
el university students that
o the laboratory school for
ences at any one time. A
n would be to serve a
sity students. Associated
to be offices for the
essional experiences and
ulty who will be working
am. Since the
y and secondary
arate departments, we
ought to be provision and
of elementary and a
ry professional laboratory
or, the large classroom-
d serve university students
y and secondary

laboratory school is to
or various individuals
er-learner environment,
should be open-to-viewing.
sed areas should be
nd without, i.e., no
t in rooms in which the

learning activity would be modified negatively
if the observer is visible, such as in testing
rooms used by psychometrist and in therapy
rooms used by speech-hearing therapist.

Associated with the laboratory school
director's office should be a conference room
that would accommodate fifty to sixty
people. This room would be used as a center
for the inservice programs, including faculty
meetings. This room would be equipped with
closed circuit television, a computer head,
and an **information retrieval system**. In the
immediate future the public school teachers
working with the university as critic teachers
for student teachers will be expected to
spend a portion of their time working in the
laboratory center to keep abreast of the
teacher education program. This room would
serve as their headquarters.

The Burris School facility has been physically
investigated in terms of existing and future
needs. A **priority based sequence** of
remodeling and additions is suggested to meet
these demands. It consists of 31 distinct
operations that can be grouped into **8 major**
phases for purposes of financing and
construction. The phases, in turn, can be
combined to form larger development
packages if desirable. It is the intent of the
study that these changes can be made without
disrupting the normal operations of the
existing facility.

The design proposals for the existing Burris
School facility encourage the development


of a symbiotic relationship among Burris, the
University, and the community. The
"connective tissues" of these groups is felt
to be a primary source of information
dissemination. Through the proposed use of
building form, land development, appropriate
scale, materials, graphics and circulation,
it is felt that Burris can serve more diverse
community interests.

It is felt that the existing University Avenue
site is appropriate for the future development
of Burris Laboratory School due to the
following factors:

- 1) It is a prime location with regard to the
University, especially the Teachers College.
- 2) It is located in an area where, because of
the University, there will always be
students.
- 3) The existing structure is physically sound
and well maintained.
- 4) Funds for a new Burris School facility are
not as readily available as funds for
renovating the existing facility.
- 5) The existing building can easily be adapted
to meet the educational goals set forth
by the Burris faculty.

It is hoped that the designs suggested in this
feasibility study are successful in showing
how a facility which is a "laboratory" for
programs in teaching and learning can also be
a suitable "**laboratory" for learning**
environments. It is intended that this
remodeled facility can set an example for many
schools under similar physical restraints.

Finally, it is hoped that this design study can
become an inspiration and benefit to
teachers, students, and parents from this
community as well as others across the
country, as a "**real" place to learn**.



The design illustrated for this project is merely schematic in nature. Greatest stress has been placed in developing an approach that illustrates possibilities for true "inside-outside" facilities. Many ideas were felt inappropriate or out of scale for this particular setting* and were therefore not included. The whole design could be considered a **smorgasbord** of operations, any of which would be, in our opinion, an improvement of the existing facility.


Adding a new wing to an old building is a tricky business. If the addition works so hard at looking like the original building, it could be embarrassing; or the new wing might ignore the old entirely and appear to be stuck on with scotch tape—as an afterthought. We opted for neither the desperately conforming or the sore-thumb approach. Our additions show distinct sympathy to the existing roof profiles and building volumes; at the same time they introduce new and exciting forms, spaces, and materials.

The greenhouse effect exists for plants and people. Clear and tinted plexiglass is used to acoustically segregate spaces as well as to admit prodigious amounts of light to circulation spaces, arcades, library/information center, etc. Glass is used to provide visual egress into garden/playcourts.

The total proposal is considered a **laboratory** not a loft—a series of spaces with options for change, yet a physical design that in itself becomes a tool for studying behavior in varieties of circumstances and environments.

Areas represented were based on the educational program for 940 students (nursery through 12) that was provided by the Teachers College.

*These include image projection techniques, special lighting effects, electronic capabilities, materials experimentation, kinetic structural experimentation, etc. We would like to develop these further on future projects.



ess has
what
outside"

particular
The

would
he

a
o hard
ould

stuck
We

h. Our

es; at
exciting

and
sed to
s to


formation
al

atory
ns
itself

ments.

nursery


ties.
develop



14



- ## Plan







15


- Av Arcade-Vestibule
- B/L Balcony/Lecture Facilities
- C Cafeteria
- D Dressing Rooms
- ER Elementary Resource Center
- FL Fly Loft
- G Gymnasium
- Gr Green Room
- L Lockers
- Lg Lighting Grid
- M Mechanical
- O Outdoor Area
- OB Observation Gallery
- Or Orchestra
- P Periodicals
- Pz Piazza
- R Reference
- RP Reflecting Pool
- S Stacks
- Sg Stage
- St Storage
- T Terrace
- TL Theater Lobby
- TV Television Center






Av Arcade-Vestibule
 B/L Balcony/Lecture Facilities
 C Cafeteria
 D Dressing Rooms
 ER Elementary Resource Center
 FL Fly Loft
 G Gymnasium
 Gr Green Room
 L Lockers
 Lg Lighting Grid
 M Mechanical
 O Outdoor Area
 OB Observation Gallery
 Or Orchestra
 P Periodicals
 Pz Piazza
 R Reference
 RP Reflecting Pool
 S Stacks
 Sg Stage
 St Storage
 T Terrace
 TL Theater Lobby
 TV Television Center





16







17




AUDIO-VISUAL
"TOTEM"

- A Amphitheater
- Ad Administration
- CC Circulation Court
- EP Exterior Pod
- Gr Green Room
- L Lockers
- M Mechanical
- MT Music Theater
- NC North Garden/Play court
- Ob Observation Gallery
- OG Observation Gymnasium
- OS Open Space
- P Pool
- PR Practice Rooms
- S Staff
- SF Storefront Facilities
- SC South Garden/Play Court
- SS Scene Shop
- St Storage
- TV Television
- W Water

Physical Education/Music

South Garden/Play Court



- A Amphitheater
- Ad Administration
- CC Circulation Court
- EP Exterior Pod
- Gr Green Room
- L Lockers
- M Mechanical
- MT Music Theater
- NC North Garden/Play court
- Ob Observation Gallery
- OG Observation Gymnasium
- OS Open Space
- P Pool
- PR Practice Rooms
- S Staff
- SF Storefront Facilities
- SC South Garden/Play Court
- SS Scene Shop
- St Storage
- TV Television
- W Water







18


Physical Education/Music

South Garden/Play Court


Open Space







19





Structure/Mechanical

Nursery and Kindercourt

B-1, 2, 3

Interior and Ext

D-3, E-2



In the renovated portions of facility most non-bearing structural members have been removed, leaving a basic column grid in place of double loaded corridors. The resulting open space shall be fully carpeted.

The individual columns (free-standing in two 12,000 square foot open spaces per floor shall be alternately built up to three foot diameters and redesigned as "audio-visual totems"—power sources for equipment, storage areas, TV monitor stations, and container/dispersers for high velocity unit air handling equipment that can be added in individual roof units. These separate units facilitate comfort zoning of particular areas; at the same time they allow easier rooftop installation for the new mechanical equipment when and where it is needed.

Structural alterations have been limited to portions of the central activity core of the existing facility in order to obtain: 1) an enlarged library/resource center; 2) a modernized theater/lecture space; 3) adequate theater support facilities; and 4) improved circulation in the old courtyard spaces.

The nursery addition, located on the community/residential south side of the site, encloses a small "Kinder-court" with the existing kindergarten. Both facilities are immediately accessible to a vehicular drop-off as well as larger contained recreation areas such as the south garden/play court and the air supported "play-bowl."

Circulation through dark old corridor "audio-visual" "greenhouse" light wells by level span a plexiglass have been of arched walk

The new vegetation, informal in observation spaces, for receives day new Burris cameras mo totems" and administrati




ical

Nursery and Kindercourt

B-1, 2, 3

Interior Circulation Courts and Exterior Pods

D-3, E-2, 3, 4



20

facility most
bers have been
column grid in place
The resulting
epeted.

re-standing in two
ces per floor
to three foot
s "audio-visual
equipment, storage
and container/
unit air handling
ed in individual
units facilitate
areas; at the same
op installation
pment when and

een limited to
vity core of the
tain: 1) an
enter; 2) a
space; 3) adequate
ed 4) improved
ard spaces.

The nursery addition, located on the community/residential south side of the site, encloses a small "Kinder-court" with the existing kindergarten. Both facilities are immediately accessible to a vehicular drop-off as well as larger contained recreation areas such as the south garden/play court and the air supported "play-bowl."


Circulation in the new facility is no longer through dark, steel locker-lined corridors. The old corridors have "dissolved" into a series of "audio-visual totems." The **new circulators** are "greenhouse courts" built into the old interior light wells by decking-in a perforated second level span and by roofing-over the top with a plexiglass greenhouse roof. Window sills have been dropped to floor level, making arched walkthroughs in place of windows.

The new compact circulators, filled with vegetation, beanbag chairs, and low lockers are informal in character. They provide physical observation spaces into the neighboring open spaces, for the many observer/visitors Burris receives daily. Additional observation in the new Burris school would be from revolving TV cameras mounted on alternating "A-V totems" and monitored centrally in the north administration wing control room.

35


Resource Center

C-1, E-2



The circulation courts include new as well as existing toilet facilities besides stairs and light wells.

Each large open space (on first and second levels) is enclosed with easily-attached seminar/office/storage/observation "pods." The pods provide the necessary support functions for a viable "open plan" situation. The "pods" help direct the use of the large open space. They also serve to break the harsh scale and monotony of the existing north and south facades, in order to achieve a multi-penetrable facility with greater respect for the needs, comprehension, and scale of the tiniest learner.



The existing library has been extended to protrude through the **north-south arcade** and over the public piazza to form a prominent new information/resource center, a significant and symbolic link between the school and the community (hopefully the school and the community will eventually become one!)

The main information center is **central** with regard to the new facility; however, it is **not hidden within it**, as are a number IMC's (instructional materials centers) seen today. The new information center/IMC/library can keep its own hours (24 hours/day if desirable) as can some of the other functions along the arcade (theater, play-bowl, discovery labs, gym, etc.)


Directly below the main information center (separated by the theater lobby, however) is the elementary resource center, also accessible from the **piazza**.

Both resource centers are set on a basement service spine extending to the southwest corner delivery area.

The elementary resource center is for the small child and links elementary open spaces. Visitors can move down into intimate grotto-like reading and story telling areas.

Resource Center

C-1, E-2



21

The existing library has been extended to protrude through the **north-south arcade** and over the public piazza to form a prominent new information/resource center, a significant and symbolic link between the school and the community (hopefully the school and the community will eventually become one!).

The main information center is **central** with regard to the new facility; however, it is **not hidden within it**, as are a number of IMC's (instructional materials centers) seen today. The new information center/IMC/library can keep its own hours (24 hours/day if desirable) as can some of the other functions along the arcade (theater, play-bowl, discovery labs, gym, etc.)


Directly below the main information center (separated by the theater lobby, however) is the elementary resource center, also accessible from the **piazza**.

Both resource centers are serviced through a basement service spine extending east from the southwest corner delivery area.

The elementary resource center is scaled for the small child and links the two main elementary open spaces. Visitors step or ramp down into intimate grotto-like reading and story telling areas.

Theater Facilities

D-1, 2, G-4



The existing auditorium is incapable of supporting full dramatic performances; consequently the existing east gymnasium was partially decked at second level and converted to include a **scene shop** and storage area, a **green room**, **dressing rooms**, **toilets**, and a **television center**. A **fly loft** has been added to the stage (structural provisions for a fly loft can presently be found in the existing facility). The existing administrative area has been converted to a **lobby** for the new theater. The existing balcony has been converted to two **convertible lecture facilities** with a central projection booth to service the theater. A new **"bridge"** now connects the two large existing auditorium windows and affords access to the two lecture halls as well as a view of the theater. The existing stage has been altered to a system of moveable platforms which, depending upon their arrangement, can form

a **thrust stage**. A new **lighting grid** has been proposed above the stage. Small balcony areas behind stage on second level provide possibilities for a choral or instrumental background or special multi-media effects. Carpet-covered step seating has been proposed that will accommodate a thrust stage; it will also create an informal **"forum"**/back-and-forth atmosphere for discussion and interaction, rather than the existing formal one-directional pattern.

Second Level

E-1, F-1, 2

Most of the social activities for the theater will be held in the east and west gymnasiums, where they will be used as **social spaces**. Major secondary spaces will be located on the second level, from Avenue to the



The second level east and west gymnasiums will be used as a **social space** terrace at the theater. The **identity to the facility**. Further to enter **direct** large ramps to the arcade, a

The terrace, north-south east and west gymnasiums, "discovery" large plaza, and "play-bowl."

The terrace, north-south east and west gymnasiums, "discovery" large plaza, and "play-bowl."

Second Level Terrace

E-1, F-1, 2



incapable of performances; east gymnasium was level and converted and storage area, a ns, toilets, and a has been added provisions for a fly loft (the existing facility). area has been the new theater. The converted to two es with a central the theater. A new two large existing affords access to the a view of the has been altered platforms which, arrangement, can form

a **thrust stage**. A new **lighting grid** has been proposed above the stage. Small balcony areas behind stage on second level provide possibilities for a choral or instrumental background or special multi-media effects. Carpet-covered step seating has been proposed that will accommodate a thrust stage; it will also create an informal "**forum**" / back-and-forth atmosphere for discussion and interaction, rather than the existing formal one-directional pattern.

Most of the site has been designed to contain activities for very young children, locating them wherever possible adjacent to interior spaces. Major recreational space for the secondary students exists across University Avenue to the north.


The second level terrace, prominent on the east and west sides of the facility, provides a **social space** for the upper class students. The terrace at the same time gives **individual identity to the secondary portion of the facility**. Furthermore, it now becomes possible to enter **directly** to the second level, via two large ramps on the east side, accessible from the arcade, and stairs at other points.

The terrace, on the east, looks into the north-south exhibition arcade, down into the "discovery labs", across the park, public piazza, and reflecting pool, and into the "play-bowl."

The terrace, on the west, bridges the new gym with the outdoor recreation facilities to the north. It also steps down to join the community rooms at the north-west corner.

Play-bowl

D-4



This area is enclosed with a transparent air supported dome whose mechanical support lies under the south ramp. The surrounding earth has been bermed to further define the activity space; the berm can also be used for spectator seating. The play-bowl—heated in winter with the air that supports it—can be used all season for carnivals, pep rallies, exhibits, and recreational activity. In the summer, the dome can be easily deflated and stored.

The domed play-bowl provides a large economical space that, in addition to the above mentioned uses, becomes a necessary transition space for recreational activities during conversion of the existing gym volumes and before the construction of the new "observation gym" is completed.

North-South Arcade

E-1, G-2




The glass enclosed, bermed, and ramped north-south arcade becomes the circulation and activity spine of the new Burris facility. Highlighted with small "discovery labs," whose skylights pop up for attention, an inviting new information center, a theater, a "play-bowl," and a public piazza, the "arcade" is a spatial-mixer experience-giver for everyone.

The "arcade" can be enlarged with the addition of new wings to connect from the north entrance/bus stop (bus stop is necessary for Burris seminared participants in the other Muncie community schools) to the south gymnasium drive.

The earth is bermed all along the arcade, echoing the sloping roof forms of the existing building. More important however, the berms are climbable; holes can be poked through for experiments in chemistry, biology, or meteorology from individual "discovery labs." **A school should be fun inside and out!** Learning should take place everywhere, and the physical facility should excite, provoke and encourage new spaces for learning all over!

North Garden

G-1




The north garden/play oversized "amphitheater" leading from the second area to the play-court can be used by play "bleachers" for "spectator" seating. It is a large kiln and stage "well"-bound kiln. The other focal point relates to the art/craft street.

The new garden/play visually and physically suitable for observation indoor areas, while, and providing a pleasant

Both the north and south relate to the two large spaces. They each provide space via a series of that accommodate children while concurrently providing entries for the children could be further identified of large colorful symbols


North-South Arcade

E-1, G-2



North Garden/Play-court

G-1



23

The glass enclosed, bermed, and ramped north-south arcade becomes the circulation and **activity spine** of the new Burris facility. Highlighted with small **"discovery labs,"** whose skylights pop up for attention, an inviting new information center, a theater, a **"play-bowl,"** and a public piazza, the **"arcade"** is a spatial-mixer experience-giver for everyone.

The **"arcade"** can be enlarged with the addition of new wings to connect from the north entrance/bus stop (bus stop is necessary for Burris seminared participants in the other Muncie community schools) to the south gymnasium drive.

The earth is bermed all along the arcade, echoing the sloping roof forms of the existing building. More important however, the berms are climbable; holes can be poked through for experiments in chemistry, biology, or meteorology from individual **"discovery labs."** **A school should be fun inside and out!** Learning should take place everywhere, and the physical facility should excite, provoke and encourage new spaces for learning all over!


The north garden/play-court contains an oversized **"amphistair"**—the outdoor steps leading from the second floor administrative area to the play-court below. The amphistair can be used by play groups as **"audience bleachers"** for **"speakers"** etc. Also included is a large kiln and stack to replace the old **"well"**-bound kiln. The new kiln becomes another focal point for the crafts area; it also relates to the art/crafts **"museum"** along the street.

The new garden/play-court provides safe, visually and physically contained open space, suitable for observation from surrounding indoor areas, while, at the same time, providing a pleasant view from these spaces.

Both the north and south garden/play-courts relate to the two large interior elementary open spaces. They each provide adjacent outdoor space via a series of **individual entrance-ways** that accommodate changing interior uses while concurrently providing identifiable entries for the children. These entries could be further identified with the application of large colorful symbols or numerals.

Administration/Store-Front Wing

G-3




The additions surrounding the north garden/play court might be called "**operation stethoscope**," for they reach out to sense the activity of the street. Lower level "store front" activities include a bookstore, soda fountain/ student center, and art/crafts museum. These facilities can be open into the evening and on weekends to gather students from the surrounding neighborhood. The storefront activities provide an intermediate location or "stepping stone" from the home to the "classroom."

The new administrative location overlooks the on-site park as well as the University. It serves as entrance to Burris for all University participants. The administrative area has its own identity and literally **ties** the lab school with the University. This area includes seminar spaces (over "store fronts") for students and faculty involved with participation programs in Burris and elsewhere in Muncie. It is the department headquarters for participation programs of the Ball State Teachers-College. These offices are also conveniently located between the actual Burris School and the University.

South Garden/Play-court

H-1



The south garden/play-court is enclosed by the new music/physical education wing. The space takes advantage of the natural slope in this portion of the site by stepping down levels to form an outdoor **amphitheater** interspersed with **play sculptures** and **fountains** for the younger elementary group. The amphitheater focuses upon a **stage** divided from the music theater behind it by a rolling door. The door, when open, allows the music theater an "outdoor stage"—when the conditions are reversed, it becomes possible, in good weather, to sit outside and view an indoor performance.

The south garden/play-court can also be used by the adjacent kindergarten and nursery spaces should they desire an activity space larger than the small scale "kinder-court" (located between the kindergarten and nursery).

Physical

H-2, I-1, 2, 3



The new physical education wing is large, noise generating, and located south where it enables the building to be on the scale of the surrounding neighborhood. The wing also defines the garden/play court to this area of play areas, observation.


The entire gymnasium is designed for activities controlled by Burris will be Council on Physical Education demonstration in physical fitness.

The large "observation" secondary school space smaller space north light from

Port Wing


South Garden/Play-court

H-1



Physical Education/Music Wing

H-2, I-1, 2, 3, 4



24

Operation

ense the
store front"
ountain/
m. These
ing and on
e
efront
cation or
ne

The south garden/play-court is enclosed by the new music/physical education wing. The space takes advantage of the natural slope in this portion of the site by stepping down levels to form an outdoor **amphitheater** interspersed with **play sculptures** and **fountains** for the younger elementary group. The amphitheater focuses upon a **stage** divided from the music theater behind it by a rolling door. The door, when open, allows the music theater an "outdoor stage"—when the conditions are reversed, it becomes possible, in good weather, to sit outside and view an indoor performance.

The south garden/play-court can also be used by the adjacent kindergarten and nursery spaces should they desire an activity space larger than the small scale "kinder-court" (located between the kindergarten and nursery).

The new physical education/music wing, a large, noise generating volume is located to the south where the site drops ten feet. This enables the large mass to fit comfortably with the scale of the rest of the complex. This wing also defines and encloses the south garden/play court. Circulation "tubes" leading to this area afford outdoor views to a variety of play areas, especially appropriate for observation.

The entire gymnasium complex has been designed for group observation of the various activities contained within. It is hoped that Burris will be selected by the President's Council on Physical Fitness to be a demonstration center for secondary programs in physical fitness for the entire United States.

The large "observation gym" planned for secondary school use is divisible into two smaller spaces, both of which are washed with north light from huge light hoods above.

arlooks the
It serves
ty
a has its
lab school
cludes
for



elsewhere
quarters
State
also
tual Burris

Cafeteria and Community Room

F-4, 5

Service and Vehicle

B-3, F-3



Below the gym is a **swimming pool** visible from the street through long eyelevel windows. Additional spaces provide for instruction in specialized activities such as dance, body development, etc.

The music areas include individual, group practice, and rehearsal rooms as well as a small **indoor-outdoor music theater**.

The existing Burris School contains three large rooms along the west basement. These rooms, once music practice areas, have been converted to a cafeteria and community rooms. The cafeteria opens onto an outdoor eating area that resembles a **sidewalk cafe**. This outdoor area is partially sheltered from rain by new locker rooms added to the elementary gym above. The old refuse-collecting and water gathering basement wells that once provided minimal light to these large rooms have been replaced with a new **sunken entrance** level. The old windows are now all doors opening onto this space, which in turn flows from the University Avenue sidewalk.

Service for the entire means of a loading a corner of the existing ramp at that location equipment that is in a basement **spine** run up to the different floor

The ramp also delivers cafeteria located below (west) gym.

A smaller ramp serves a garage for car crafts



Vehicular access is limited to a drop-off in the nursery and kind a sheltered drop-off for events in the gym other areas of the are provided for only a small cars.

Cafeteria and Community Room

F-4, 5

Service and Vehicular Access

B-3, F-3



25

The existing Burris School contains three large rooms along the west basement. These rooms, once music practice areas, have been converted to a cafeteria and community rooms. The cafeteria opens onto an outdoor eating area that resembles a **sidewalk cafe**. This outdoor area is partially sheltered from rain by new locker rooms added to the elementary gym above. The old refuse-collecting and water gathering basement wells that once provided minimal light to these large rooms have been replaced with a new **sunken entrance** level. The old windows are now all doors opening onto this space, which in turn flows from the University Avenue sidewalk.

Service for the entire facility is provided by means of a loading area at the southwest corner of the existing school. A new loading ramp at that location receives supplies and equipment that is in turn distributed along a basement **spine** running eastward and then up to the different floors.

The ramp also delivers prepared food to a new cafeteria located below the elementary (west) gym.


A smaller ramp services the shop and contains a garage for car craft or driver training cars.

Vehicular access in the new facility has been limited to a drop-off immediately adjoining the nursery and kindergarten areas as well as a sheltered drop-off which can also be used for events in the gymnasium or theater and other areas of the activity spine. Parking is provided for only a small number of visitor's cars.

45

Site Development

B-2, 3 C-2, 3, 4 F-3, 4 G-1 H-1




An attempt was made to fully utilize every square foot of the existing site. "Kinder-court," "garden/play-courts," "play-bowl," "arcade," and "west sidewalk cafe" were described.

Many alternatives for expansion were considered, concentrating and dispersing various elements on various portions of the site. It was finally decided that the land between the existing school and the streets (north and south) could be further defined, controlled and preserved with the development of substantial play-courts (unlike the old central wells). Furthermore, this enables the facility to connect, **physically**, street-to-street, university-to-community.

The remaining site provides a **visual** connection from community to university—towards the physical university symbol, the Beneficence statue. This portion, although visually open, contains a **variety of land treatments**: moving south, these range from "park" (most trees already exist here) to "pond" (for ice skating in winter and wading

in summer) to "play" (for games and gatherings) to "recreation area" and recreation area. This area, to vehicular access, is designed to incorporate **contrasting** elements, extending on a diagonal from the University, is a "play" channel, for modern play, surrounds a flagpole, directed toward the east and the Future expansion of the Hospital on the west, respect to planned direction.




26

fully utilize every
ing site. "Kinder-court,"
play-bowl," "arcade,"
were described.

ansion were
g and dispersing
rious portions of the
d that the land
ool and the streets
t be further defined.
t with the
at play-courts (unlike
rthermore, this
nnect, **physically**,
y-to-community.


The remaining site provides a **visual**
connection from community to university—
towards the physical university symbol, the
Beneficence statue. This portion, although
visually open, contains a **variety of land**
treatments; moving south, these range from
"park" (most trees already exist here) to
"pond" (for ice skating in winter and wading

in summer) to "public piazza" (for exhibitions
and gatherings) to "play-bowl" (for carnivals
and recreation all season—open in summer),
to vehicular access. Varying treatments
incorporate **contrasting surfaces and materials**,
worthy of exploration. A major walkway,
extending on a diagonal toward the center of
the University, is reinforced with a water
channel, for model sailboats, that anchors and
surrounds a flagpole. Additional walks are
directed toward the University Student Center
to the east and the community to the south.
Future expansion of the Ball Memorial
Hospital on the west provides a firm edge with
respect to planned development in that
direction.



sequence through final design model from roof
to basement showing all spaces in existing
facility

27



Preliminary Phasing and Cost Estimate

Phases A, B, and C

This preliminary estimate is based on the following:

- 1) Areas provided by the College of Architecture and Planning and amended as per discussions M. Rosenman/M. R. Morris.
- 2) Outline sketches, photographs, project reports and inspection of models all produced by the College of Architecture and Planning.
- 3) Median standards of construction.
- 4) Normal ground conditions.
- 5) Complete new plumbing, heating, ventilation and air conditioning and electrical installations to the new and all existing structures.
- 6) The format of this estimate is based on the phasing noted in the feasibility study and includes for construction costs only.

The following are not included:

- a) Equipment, furniture, furnishings.
- b) Professional fees.
- c) Escalation in excess of 5% of today's prices

PHASE A—EXISTING

PHASE B—(New Construction)

Single story Nursery building with link connection to existing building.
Kindercourt area paved with fencing and play areas, drainage and lighting.
Parking area including curbs, drainage, lighting.

PHASE C—(New Construction and construction inside existing structure)

Resource center partly new construction and partly constructed into existing Library.
Paved public plaza area with drainage, lighting.
Reflecting pool and related construction.
Allowance for Park Development.

Area Sq. Ft.

2,500 s.f.

4,000 s.f.

12,800 s.f.


TOTAL PHASE

6,800 s.f.


4,800 s.f.

4,200 s.f.

TOTAL PHASE



A



B



ing and

Phases A, B, and C

is based on the

College of
ning and amended
Rosenman/M. R.

ographs, project
of models all
ne of Architecture

onstruction.

ons.

g, heating,
ditioning and
to the new and all

imate is based on the
asibility study
struction costs only.

uded:
urnishings.

5% of todays prices

PHASE A—EXISTING

PHASE B—(New Construction)

Single story Nursery building with link
connection to existing building.
Kindercourt area paved with fencing and play
areas, drainage and lighting.
Parking area including curbs, drainage,
lighting.


PHASE C—(New Construction and construction inside existing structure)

Resource center partly new construction and
partly constructed into existing Library.
Paved public plaza area with drainage,
lighting.
Reflecting pool and related construction.
Allowance for Park Development.


Area	Sq. Ft.	Cost
2,500	s.f.	10,000
4,000	s.f.	112,000
12,800	s.f.	32,000
TOTAL PHASE B		154,000
6,800	s.f.	204,000
4,800	s.f.	22,000
4,200	s.f.	21,000
		20,000
TOTAL PHASE C		267,000



A



B



C

Phase D

PHASE D-1—(Modification to Theater)

Convert existing Theater balcony to form two Lecture Halls by the use of sliding doors from central core to form enclosures. Carpet existing stepped floor, install new suspended ceiling and renovate walls.

Extend flyloft.

Construct new bridge to edge of existing balcony with balustrade one side.

Remove existing Theater seats, realign existing Theater floor level and cover stepped floor with carpet, install new suspended ceiling with grid for lighting over stage and make good and paint walls.

Area	Sq. Ft.	Cost
	2,400 s.f.	67,000
	1,200 s.f.	24,000
	450 s.f.	13,000
	5,400 s.f.	114,000

PHASE D-2—(Gymnasium Conversion)

Create Theater support area by introduction of new mezzanine floor in existing Gymnasium.

New load bearing dividing wall inside existing gymnasium including foundations, pilaster, etc. and make good.

	2,400 s.f.	58,000
	1,800 s.f.	24,000

PHASE D-3—(Enclosing existing courtyards)

Demolitions of existing structures in courtyard areas.


Modification to existing windows overlooking old courtyard areas.
4'0" Fill, grade slab, second floor with open wells surrounding with balustrading plexiglass roof covering to Courtyard areas. Existing brickwork cleaned and pointed, average floor and ceiling finishings. (Two courtyard areas.)

	Say	4,000
	Say	5,000
	15,000 s.f.	375,000

PHASE D-4—(Playbowl area)

Playbowl area grassed with grassed perimeter embankment having holding down points and with retaining walls for approximately $\frac{1}{4}$ of the circumference. Air inflated translucent dome shaped canopy including heating and electrical.

	17,600 s.f.	93,000
TOTAL PHASE D		777,000



Phase E

PHASE E-1—(New construction connected to existing structures)

North/South arcade (Phase 1) with new external walls with earth embankments roof with domed roof lights modification of existing windows to form blank openings walkways in certain area, plexiglass roof enclosures in two areas, modifications to existing facade. Main entrance glazed entrances with steps.

Area	Sq. Ft.	Cost
10,000 s.f.		280,000

PHASE E-2, 3—(Remodeling interior)

Demolition of existing partitioning on 1st and 2nd floors to create open plan configuration, construction of audio/visual totems around approximately 50% of existing columns, carpet covered floor and accoustic tile surfaces.

62,800 s.f.	1,005,000
-------------	-----------

Construction of new Elementary Resource Center within existing building in previously unexcavated areas.


4,400 s.f.	132,000
------------	---------

PHASE E-4—(New construction connected to existing structures)

Two story learning pods connected to existing building.


3,500 s.f.	126,000
------------	---------

TOTAL PHASE E	1,543,000
----------------------	------------------



Phase F

	Area	Sq. Ft.	Cost
PHASE F-1—(Modification to existing elementary Gymnasium and new locker area) Form opening approximately 50'x20' in existing wall for new bleachers, new locker areas with ramped access.	2,300	s.f.	81,000
PHASE F-2—(New Construction) Single story auto shop and entrances.	1,600	s.f.	37,000
PHASE F-3—(Modifications to existing and new work) Construct concrete ramped access to service entrance into curbs, drainage, lighting. Modifications to existing structures to provide service facilities (minimal work).	8,000	s.f.	40,000
	3,000	s.f.	75,000
PHASE F-4—(Modifications to existing basement) Convert existing facility to community rooms with carpet covered floor and accoustic tile ceiling in demountable grid suspension system throughout, renovate existing wall surfaces.	4,600	s.f.	78,000
PHASE F-5—(Modification to existing basement) Convert existing storage areas to Cafeteria and food service facility with impervious floor finish and pan metal or acoustic tile ceiling in demountable grid suspension. Renovate existing wall surfaces, provide service for kitchen equipment.	4,300	s.f.	86,000
TOTAL PHASE F			397,000



Phase G

PHASE G-1—(New construction)

North garden playcourt surface 50% grass,
50% pavings, drainage, lighting, etc.

Allowance for Kiln.

Allowance for staircase.

Tree planting.

Area	Sq. Ft.	Cost
	9,000 s.f.	27,000
Say		10,000
Say		6,000
Say		1,000

PHASE G-2—(New construction)

North/South Arcade Phase No. 2 including
planetarium.

2,000 s.f.	40,000
------------	--------

PHASE G-3—(New construction)

Construction of two story administration and
professional program area.

15,500 s.f.	511,500
-------------	---------

PHASE G-4—(Modifications to old administration area)

Remodel existing administration areas to form
new Theater Lobby including make up
levels and new floor and renovate existing
walls and ceilings.

2,500 s.f.	50,000
------------	--------


PHASE G-5—(New construction)

Construction of new museum area and
storefronts for student center.

3,600 s.f.	129,600
------------	---------

TOTAL PHASE G	775,100
----------------------	----------------

32



Phases H, and I and General

PHASE H-1—(New construction)

South garden playcourt with stepped pavings,
drainage, lighting, etc.
Allowance for water display.
Tree planting.

Area	Sq. Ft.	Cost
8,000 s.f.		56,000
Say		15,000
Say		1,000

PHASE H-2—(New construction)

Inside/outside Music Theater with moveable
stepped floors, learning tubes and glass
enclosed walkway.

4,700 s.f.	188,000
TOTAL PHASE H	260,000

PHASE I-1—(New construction)

Swimming pool and locker areas at basement
level situated beneath new gymnasium.

9,900 s.f.	347,000
------------	---------

PHASE I-2—(New construction)

Observation gymnasium.

9,900 s.f.	297,000
------------	---------

Phase B

PHASE I-3—(New construction)

Additional music facility (double height).
Two story storage facility.

2,000 s.f.	66,000
2,000 s.f.	50,000

Phase C

Phase D

PHASE I-4—(New construction)

Additional Physical development spaces.

3,500 s.f.	105,000
TOTAL PHASE I	865,000

Phase E

Phase F

GENERAL

Mostly new plumbing, new H.V.A.C. and
electrical installations to existing structures
additional to phases above. No other
renovations included.

21,200 s.f.	212,000
-------------	---------

Phase G

Phase H

Phase I



Allowance for strengthening steam line and
800' of new chilled water lines.

Say	60,000
-----	--------

General

TOTAL GENERALLY	272,000
------------------------	----------------

TOTAL



Area	Sq. Ft.	Cost
8,000 s.f.		56,000
Say		15,000
Say		1,000

4,700 s.f.	188,000
TOTAL PHASE H	260,000

9,900 s.f.	347,000
------------	---------

9,900 s.f.	297,000
------------	---------

2,000 s.f.	66,000
2,000 s.f.	50,000

3,500 s.f.	105,000
TOTAL PHASE I	865,000


21,200 s.f.	212,000
-------------	---------

Say	60,000
-----	---------------

TOTAL GENERALLY	272,000
------------------------	----------------

	Cost
Phase B	154,000
Phase C	267,000
Phase D	777,000
Phase E	1,543,000
Phase F	397,000
Phase G	775,100
Phase H	260,000
Phase I	865,000
General	272,000
TOTAL	\$5,318,100

33



Whittier Still sits the school-house by the road
A ragged beggar sleeping.

Le Corbusier Let us build for ourselves a new consciousness,
the lever of fruitful deeds.

34/35

Marvin Eli Rosenman AIA, a registered architect, has written and lectured on the subject of educational facilities. In 1970 he received Ball State University's McClintock Award for Research. Mr. Rosenman holds Bachelor of Arts (with honors in 1964) and Bachelor of Architecture (1965) degrees from the University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia; and a Master of Science in Architecture degree (with specialization in Educational Facilities Design) from Columbia University (1967). He was twice AIA Knopf Scholar, 1964 and 1965, and a William Kinne Fellows Traveling Fellow from Columbia in 1967. His experience in New York, Philadelphia and Indiana has included work on the new Columbus, Indiana High School. He was a contributor to the book *New Schools for New Towns*, published by Rice University and the Ford Foundation's Educational Facilities Laboratories. He is currently Associate Professor of Architecture at the College of Architecture and Planning at Ball State University.

Anthony J. Costello AIA, a registered architect, has been a Fulbright Scholar in Turkey (1965). He has been awarded Bachelor of Architecture degrees from both Middle East Technical University, Ankara (1965), and Pratt Institute (with honors in 1966). In 1967 he received the degree Master of Science in Architecture from Columbia University, where he concentrated in Urban Design. He is the recipient of the Dean's Medal from Pratt and the William Kinne Fellows Traveling Fellowship from Columbia. Mr. Costello has had architectural experience in New York and Indiana and is currently Associate Professor of Architecture at Ball State University.

Craig W. Mullins, Alpha Rho Chi Medalist of Ball State's first graduating class in architecture, received the degree Bachelor of Architecture in 1971. His experience includes work in Indiana and with the internationally recognized firm of Roche and Dinkeloo and Associates, Hamden, Connecticut. Mr. Mullins is currently Instructor in Architecture at the College of Architecture and Planning.

A special thanks to the faculty and students of Burnis School who have generously contributed their ideas and suggestions to make this project most meaningful.

Brochure design: Rosenman, Mullins, and Costello
Sketches and text: Rosenman
Architectural drawings: Mullins and Costello
Models: entire design/research team
Model photography: Craig Kuhner
Existing facility photos: Kenyon Tilbury
Printed by Durham Printing Inc.,
Muncie, Indiana

The contents of this brochure shall not be reproduced in whole or in part without crediting the project as fully described on the cover.

Additional copies of this brochure may be obtained from The College of Architecture and Planning, Ball State University, Muncie, Indiana 47306 at \$2.50 per copy.

36